1	MELINDA HAAG (CABN 132612) United States Attorney
2	MIRANDA KANE (CABN 150630) Chief, Criminal Division
4 5 6 7 8 9	LOWELL C. POWELL (CABN 235446) Special Assistant United States Attorney 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-7368 Facsimile: (415) 436-7234 E-Mail: lowell.powell2@usdoj.gov Attorneys for the United States of America UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
13	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) No. CR 10-0766 JSW
14	Plaintiff,
15 16 17	v.) STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER 18 U.S.C. § 3161
18 19	a/k/a Rosendo Larette, Defendant.
20	On December 9, 2010, the parties in this case appeared before the Court. At that time,
21	the Court set the matter to January 27, 2011. The parties have agreed to exclude the period of
22	time between December 9, 2010 and January 27, 2011, from any time limits applicable under 18
23	U.S.C. § 3161. The parties represented that granting the exclusion would allow the reasonable
24	time necessary for effective preparation of counsel. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The
25	parties also agree that the ends of justice served by granting such an exclusion of time outweigh
26	the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A).
27	
28	
	STIPULATION & [PROPOSED] ORDER EXCLUDING TIME CR 10-0766 JSW

C6.555.6331.09ecr90076663.555W D200cunneent t20 Filled 1.221.001.00 F2age 2.26 fo 3.3 At the hearing, the Court made findings consistent with this agreement. SO STIPULATED: MELINDA HAAG United States Attorney LOWELL C. POWELL Special Assistant United States Attorney DATED: December 10, 2011 DATED: December 10, 2010 /s/RONALD TYLER Attorney for ROSENDO SIQUIEROS LARRETA STIPULATION & [PROPOSED] ORDER EXCLUDING TIME CR 10-0766 JSW

[PROPOSED] ORDER

For the reasons stated above and at the December 9, 2011 hearing, the Court finds that the exclusion from the time limits applicable under 18 U.S.C. § 3161 of the period from December 9, 2010 through January 27, 2011, is warranted and that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(7)(A). Denying the requested exclusion of time would deprive the parties of the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: December 10, 2010